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**Abstract:** Aim: This study investigated whether seasonal variations have an effect on diet composition of *Serrapinnus notomelas* in a marginal lagoon located under the area of influence of the Tibagi River, Upper Paraná Basin. Methods: Samples were carried out monthly between February 2017 and January 2018, and fish specimens were caught with two sieves (2 mm mesh). The volumetric and occurrence method were used to quantify stomach contents. Results: A total of 358 stomachs had their contents analyzed. The autochthonous resources were dominant in the diet of *S. notomelas* in all seasons, with a predominance of algae, detritus and Tecamebas. Meanwhile, plant material was the most abundant allochthonous resource in the diet. Diet composition showed significant differences between all seasons, while in the autumn and summer seasons, individuals showed greater trophic niche breadth, respectively. Conclusions: Our results show the great importance of autochthonous resources for the maintenance of the *S. notomelas* population, and that seasonality can influence the trophic composition in the species’ diet. We observed difference in the diet during the seasons and that during autumn and summer, individuals presented a greater breadth of the trophic niche. Thus, our results corroborate with knowledge to the preservation of small fish species, which are fundamental for the trophic network in ecosystems.
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**Resumo:** Objetivo: Este estudo investigou se variações sazonais induzem mudança na composição da dieta de *Serrapinnus notomelas* em uma lagoa marginal situada sob a área de influência do rio Tibagi, Bacia do Alto Paraná. Métodos: As coletas foram realizadas mensalmente de fevereiro de 2017 a janeiro de 2018, e os exemplares foram capturados com duas peneiras (malha de 2 mm). O método volumétrico e de ocorrência foram usados para quantificar o conteúdo estomacal. Resultados: Um total de 358 estômagos tiveram os seus conteúdos analisados. Os recursos autóctones foram dominantes na dieta de *S. notomelas* em todas as estações, com predominância de algas, detritos e Tecamebas. Plantas foram os recursos alloctones mais abundantes na dieta. A composição da dieta apresentou diferenças significativas entre todas as estações, e nas estações do outono e verão os indivíduos apresentaram...
1. Introduction

Aquatic environments present high spatial-temporal heterogeneity and annually suffer influences from temperature variation, rainfall, and hydrological regime (Oliveira et al., 2016; Souza et al., 2017). These seasonal factors can influence the structure of communities and promote variations mainly in the abundance and availability of food resources in the ecosystem (Zavala-Camin, 1996). Therefore, species tend to develop behavioral strategies to deal with these variations in resources, which would affect their growth and survival rates, in addition to ecological interactions (Carnicer et al., 2008; Barger & Kitaysky, 2012; O’Callaghan et al., 2013; Correa & Winemiller, 2014).

An example of adaptive strategy to seasonal variations is trophic plasticity, present in several species of Neotropical fish, which, depending on the availability of food resources, can explore different sources expanding their trophic niche (Abelha et al., 2001). This strategy can be verified by the constant variations of food items in their diet (Corrêa et al., 2009). Serrasalmids of the genus Mylossoma and Myleus present morphology of the oral structure adapted to break fruits and seeds, resources that are abundant in the Amazon region during the flood period. However, items such as leaves, flowers, fish, zooplankton, arthropods, and monkey droppings are occasionally found in their diet (Goulding, 1980; Abelha et al., 2001).

Lagoons and flood areas that border the main river channel are highly influenced by seasonality during the wet and dry seasons (Henry et al., 2011; Quirino et al., 2015; Brambilla et al., 2018). In periods of high-water level, the increase of river volume connects it with marginal lakes. As a result, there is an expansion of water bodies promoting the dispersion, displacement and grouping of the ichthyofauna that inhabit marginal regions of these lakes to new habitats, by consequence to a greater supply of resources (Corrêa et al., 2009; Gomes et al., 2012). On the other hand, in times of low rainfall, river level decrease, making marginal lakes isolated again, restricting the ichthyofauna and its foraging area (Ferrareze & Nogueira, 2011; Cunha et al., 2018). This retraction of water bodies causes a decrease in dissolved oxygen concentration and an increase in the density of individuals, influencing important ecological relationships such as inter and intraspecific predation (Oliveira et al., 2016).

The Neotropical region is home to a great diversity of species belonging to the order Characiformes, with different morphologies and ecological niches, fundamental for the structuring of the ecosystem (Lévêque et al., 2008). Cheirodontinae is one of the most well-known phylogenetic and taxonomic groups of Characidae (Malabarba, 2003; Bührnheim et al., 2008). *Serrapinus notomelas* (Eigenmann, 1915), Cheirodontinae, is recorded across the Upper Paraná River basin inhabiting places of low current velocity and depth such as lakes and swamps, (Malabarba, 2003; Piana et al., 2006, Súarez et al., 2007). It is considered a small-sized species (35 to 40 mm long) with short life cycle and trophic plasticity, consuming plant material, algae, and aquatic invertebrates (Suzuki et al., 2004; Dias & Fialho, 2009; Brandão-Gonçalves et al., 2010).

Small fish species such as *S. notomelas* are important components in the biota of freshwater ecosystems, playing an important role as they present dense populations that are numerically dominant in their assemblages (Súarez et al., 2007). In addition, this species has great economic importance, especially for aquarists, being exported as ornamental fish (Costa & Rocha, 2017). Considering the ecological importance of *S. notomelas*, this study aimed to test whether seasonality influences the diet composition of *Serrapinus notomelas*. In addition, we also sought to assess whether there is expansion of the trophic niche of individuals during the seasons, since there is a tendency for an increase in the amount of food resources during the hottest and rainy season.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Study area

The studied lagoon is located under the influence area of the Tibagi River, Upper Paraná Basin, in the municipality of Sertanópolis - Paraná State, Brazil (23°00'15”S and 50°58’18”W). The Tibagi River...
is one of the main tributaries of the Paranapanema River. It is about 550 km long and comprises a watershed with 65 main tributaries and hundreds of sub tributaries (Maack, 1981).

The lagoon has a mean area of 24,000,00 m², and it is located 300 m from the closest margin of the Tibagi River. The water level of the Tibagi River in this region is influenced by the Capivara Dam Reservoir in the Paranapanema River. The connection of the lagoon with the Tibagi River occurs sporadically at high-level peaks during the rainy season, being isolated during most of the year. The margin of the lagoon is mostly dominated by grass of the genus *Brachiaria*, with a variable width of 1 to 4 m away from the margin. It is surrounded by a matrix of agricultural landscape composed of soybean and corn crops (Figure 1 and 2).

2.2. Species characterization

*Serrapinnus notomelas* is considered a small species, with a maximum size of 40.0 mm (Graça & Pavanelli, 2007) and average weight of 0.5 g (Piana et al., 2006; Figure 3). They live in small shoals searching for food in short migrations among submerged vegetation, roots and debris. They are found in shallow portions of lakes and slow water channels usually close to macrophytes (Carniatto et al., 2012). Females usually develop first gonadal maturation when reaching 20 mm, and males around 21 mm (Suzuki et al., 2004). They do not present parental care (Suzuki et al., 2004), and as far as it is known, the species lacks territoriality.

2.3. Sampling site

Collections were carried out monthly from February 2017 to January 2018. Specimens were captured in the margin of the lagoon with two sieves (1 m diameter; 2 mm mesh) working simultaneously for 40 minutes. After capture, individuals were anesthetized with Eugenol 50-200 mg/L (Neiffer & Stamper, 2009) and fixed in a 4% formaldehyde solution for 48 hours before stored in alcohol 70%. The samplings were carried out under SISBio 42829-1 license, referring to the project approved by CEUA-UEL under protocol 8781.2015.40. Voucher specimens were deposited at the Zoology Museum of the State University of Londrina (MZUEL 17776, MZUEL 17777, MZUEL 18782, MZUEL 18783, MZUEL 18784, MZUEL 18785, MZUEL 18786, MZUEL 18787, 18788, MZUEL 18789, MZUEL 18790 and MZUEL 18791).

![Figure 1](image-url). Map of the Tibagi River, Upper Paraná River Basin, Municipality of Sertanópolis – PR, indicating the location of the marginal lagoon sampled.
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Climatic precipitation (mm) and temperature (°C) data were recorded during the study from January 2017 to February 2018. These data were obtained at the meteorological station of the National Institute of Meteorology (INMET) located in Nova Fátima, PR (-23.42S and -50.58W), being 98.7 km away from the collection point. In addition to this, data from the second closest station located in Maringá (-23.41S and -51.93W) at 130 km away from the collection point, was used to replace the absence (null) of precipitation data for the months of January, February, and April 2017. During the study period, January 2017 had the highest volume of monthly accumulated precipitation (309.2 mm), while in July 2017 there was no precipitation. In addition to this, the spring and summer seasons showed the highest monthly accumulated precipitation, followed by autumn, mainly in May 2017, whose monthly accumulated precipitation was 176 mm. Regarding the average temperature, summer had the highest average, especially in January (24.65 °C) and February (26.05 °C) in 2017, while the lowest average temperature was recorded in the winter period in July (17.79 °C) and August (16.9 °C) (Figure 4).

2.4. Diet analysis

In laboratory adult individuals identified through sexual dimorphism had their stomachs removed and stored in 70% alcohol, their content was analyzed under a stereomicroscope or optical microscope and identified at the lowest possible taxonomic level, based on Mugnai et al. (2010). The volume (V) of each content was obtained by compression in a millimeter Petri dish, with a volume given in mm³ and later transformed into mL (Hellawell & Abel, 1971). Food items were grouped into broad categories: Insects (Diptera larvae, adult Hymenoptera, adult Hemiptera, Ephemeroptera larvae and Odonata larvae), Other Invertebrates (Ostracoda, Copepoda, Cladocera, Rotifera, Tecameba, Bryozoa and Gastropoda), Crustaceans (Microcrustaceans), Plants (Algae, Superior plants), Others (Fish scales, Organic detritus, Inorganic detritus and Microplastic).
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The trophic guild of the species in each season was determined by the prevailing volume of food categories. If the species consumed a volume equal to or greater than 60% of plants, it was classified as herbivorous; of macroinvertebrate as invertivorous; of algae as algivorous, of detritus as detritivore and as omnivorous when the species did not present any dominant food categories in its diet. We adopted a volume value of 60% as a mean of the volume range usually found in the literature (50% to 70%) (e.g. De Mérona et al., 2001; Corrêa et al., 2011; Delariva et al., 2013).

2.5. Data analysis

To verify differences in the diet of S. notomelas among seasons (summer, autumn, winter, and spring), a permutational variation analysis was used (PERMANOVA, Anderson et al., 2008), based on a Bray-Curtis similarity matrix of volume data, log transformed (x + 1). The pseudo-F statistic resulting from this analysis was tested by the Monte Carlo method using 999 randomizations and later we identified which compartment pairs differed significantly with the pairwise adonis test. The ordering of species according to the composition of the diet was visualized using a principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) based on a Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrix (Bray & Curtis, 1957). Finally, we identified which seasonality pairs differed significantly with Tukey’s post-hoc test (Tukey, 1953).

Possible differences in species niche breadth between different seasons were determined through a permutational analysis of multivariate dispersions (PERMDISP; Anderson, 2004). This analysis indicates dietary variability among individuals of the same species in the sampled location, reflecting the population’s niche breadth (Correa & Winemiller, 2014). The probability values used to determine significant differences in the dispersion of the S. notomelas diet between seasons were calculated by residue permutation (999 permutations), and subsequently identified which seasonality season pairs differed significantly with Tukey’s post-hoc test (Tukey, 1953). All statistical analyzes were performed using the R software (R Development Core Team, 2019), using the “vegan” package (Oksanen et al., 2018), and the graphics were constructed using the “ggplot2” package (Wickham, 2016).

3. Results

A total of 1077 individuals were captured along the study. We aimed to randomly select 30 individuals for the diet analysis for each month; however, we did not reach this amount in some months. A total of 358 stomachs of S. notomelas analyzed: 90 in autumn, 59 in spring, 119 in summer and 90 in winter. Considering all seasons, a total of 19 food resources were recorded in the species’ diet, 14 items of autochthonous origin and five allochthonous (Table 1). When evaluating the food composition of S. notomelas, it was observed that in all seasons, individuals consumed predominantly aquatic resources, mainly algae, organic detritus and Tecameba (Table 1).

The diet of S. notomelas was predominantly composed of food resources of autochthonous origin in all seasons. The highest consumption of these resources occurred in winter (81.64%), followed by autumn and summer (72.93% and 72.78%, respectively; Figure 5). Plant items were the most abundant in the diet of S. notomelas during spring (24.30%), followed by algae (18.37%) and organic detritus. In spring, the most abundant items were plants (24.30%), followed by organic detritus (23.26%) and algae (14.23%). Of the 19 items consumed in the summer, the most abundant were algae (32.05%), inorganic detritus (15.15%) and Tecameba (11.76%). Of 17 items consumed in winter, the most abundant were algae (35.99%), organic detritus (17.95%) and plants (13.59%, Table 1).

PERMANOVA showed a significant difference in the composition of the diet between seasons (PERMANOVA: pseudoF(3,356) = 16.736; p < 0.05), indicating that the diet composition of S. notomelas was different between all seasons (Table 2). PCoA revealed the significance of the first two axes, which together explained 39.21%. When analyzing the
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axes separately, it was observed that in the first axis of the PCoA there was a segregation between the summer season (negative side) and autumn and spring (positive side). In the second axis, it was observed that the segregation occurred between the summer and autumn seasons (positive side) and spring (negative side) (Figure 6).

The PERMDISP results showed that only the spring and autumn seasons differed significantly from each other in relation to the variation in diet among individuals (ANOVA: F (3,353) = 3.4294; p < 0.05, Figure 7). Thus, greater variability was observed between individuals in the autumn season (Average distance to centroid - DC: 0.48), followed by

### Results of the pair-by-pair test of the permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) applied to the diet data of *Serrapinnus notomelas* in the autumn, spring, summer, and winter seasons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pairs</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>F.Model</th>
<th>r2</th>
<th>p_value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Autumn vs Spring</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.46478</td>
<td>0.089585</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn vs Summer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21.25352</td>
<td>0.092707</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn vs Winter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.261914</td>
<td>0.034357</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring vs Summer</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29.7052</td>
<td>0.143708</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring vs Winter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.83979</td>
<td>0.07549</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer vs Winter</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.68024</td>
<td>0.070734</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

df = degree of freedom; F.model = F-statistics; r2 = Coefficient of determination; p_value = significance value.

### Table 1. Volume (%) of food items identified in stomach contents of *Serrapinnus notomelas* in a lagoon located on the Tibagi River, Upper Paraná River Basin, in the municipality of Sertanópolis - PR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resources</th>
<th>Autumn</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diptera (L)</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>2.81</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymenoptera (A)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemiptera (A)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ephemeroptera (L)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odonata (L)</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other invertebrates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostracoda</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>3.87</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copepoda</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cladocera</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>5.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotifera</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>11.39</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacillarida</td>
<td>11.96</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>11.96</td>
<td>8.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bryozoa</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastropoda</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustaceans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microcrustáceos</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algae</td>
<td>18.37</td>
<td>14.23</td>
<td>32.05</td>
<td>35.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior plants (T)</td>
<td>20.77</td>
<td>24.30</td>
<td>8.08</td>
<td>13.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish scale</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic detritus</td>
<td>17.39</td>
<td>23.26</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>17.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inorganic detritus</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microplastic</td>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>4.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: A (adult); L (larvae).

### Table 2. Origin of food resources that constituted the *Serrapinnus notomelas* diet in the coastal zone of a marginal lagoon on the Tibagi River, Upper Paraná River Basin, sampled from February 2017 to January 2018.

![Figure 5. Origin of food resources that constituted the *Serrapinnus notomelas* diet in the coastal zone of a marginal lagoon on the Tibagi River, Upper Paraná River Basin, sampled from February 2017 to January 2018.](image)
by summer (DC = 0.47), winter (DC = 0.46) and spring (DC = 0.42).

4. Discussion

Our results show that the population of *Serrapinnus notomelas* consumed a large volume of autochthonous resources with a highly diversified food composition in all seasons, being herein considered an omnivorous species. The presence of rooted structure in the lagoon provides foraging habitat for small fish, which can harbor communities of algae and invertebrates (Thomaz et al., 2008; Carniatto et al., 2012), which were evidenced in the diet of *S. notomelas*. The seasonal change in the diet of the species was evident with significant results. In the autumn and spring seasons the most abundant resource was plants, and, in the summer and winter, it was algae.
The diet of *S. notomelas* was dominated by autochthonous resources in all seasons, this shows how dependent the species is on the resources provided by the lagoon itself. The absence of a complex riparian vegetation, once the lagoon is surrounded by crops, might be the main cause for the low amount of allochthonous resources (personal observation). In addition, the behavior of the species associated with vegetation (grasses of the genus *Brachiaria*) favored the large consumption of algae and invertebrates as the main resources in the diet of *S. notomelas* during all seasons (Casatti et al., 2003; Pelice et al., 2005; Dibble & Pelice, 2010; Carniatto et al., 2012). The mouth morphology of the species contributes to explain the high consumption of algae. *S. notomelas* have wide multicuspid teeth, playing an important role in scraping the periphyton adhered to macrophyte stems (Hahn & Loureiro-Crippa, 2006). Animal resources mainly *Tecameba* and *Cladoceros* were also found. Thus, *S. notomelas* can be considered as an omnivorous species with a tendency to herbivory, due to the consumption of both invertebrates, algae and superior plants, as proposed by Minzão et al. (2004); Brandão-Gonçalves et al. (2010); Costa & Rocha (2017).

Many studies have evaluated differences in the species' diet between drought and flood only (Aranha et al., 1998; Esteves & Lobón-Cerviá, 2001; Lampert et al., 2003), with few records of variations in the diet of Neotropical fishes among seasons. However, it is of great importance to assess how species are feeding during the seasons of the year, as it defines with better precision periods with higher consumption conditions (Barbieri & Barbieri, 1984; Raposo & Gurgel, 2003).

Another factor that could explain the changes in the diet of *S. notomelas* between autumn and spring, is the temporal abundance of food resources. We found an increase of Rotifera, Hymenoptera and Hemiptera in the diet of *S. notomelas* during spring (flooding period), when there was a greater availability of food items and abundance of these invertebrates in the lake during the rainiest season (Mérona & Rankin-de-Mérona, 2004; Balcombe et al., 2005; Quirino et al., 2015). Also, it is a period of higher activity of insects for reproduction, feeding and flocks (Galinha & Hahn, 2004).

Autumn is characterized by the ebb period in the rivers at the studied region (Lourenço et al., 2012), and it is associated with a reduction in the feeding activity of ichthyofauna, which can be explained by the reduction of food availability (Payne, 1986; Prejs & Prejs, 1987; Galinha & Hahn, 2004). In this season, we found a higher volume of *Tecameba*, *Copepoda* and microcrustaceans in stomach contents when compared to spring. The prevalence of these items can suffer influence of environment, as demonstrated by Takeda et al. (1990) and Lansac-Tôha et al. (1993). These findings differ from other studies that showed that *S. notomelas* fed on *Cladocera* and *Copepoda* in rainy seasons and Rotifers in the less rainy seasons in lakes of floodplain of the Upper Paraná River (Carniatto et al., 2012), or showing that the species feeds predominantly on algae in reservoirs from the Paraná River (Casatti et al., 2003; Pelice & Agostinho, 2006; Hahn & Loureiro-Crippa, 2006). Such divergences can be favored by the difference in habitat, by the dynamics of connection and retraction of the marginal lagoon with the Tibagi river, riverside and interannual variation of precipitation among the study sites. In addition, our results highlight the importance of evaluating the *S. notomelas* diet, as it varies in different ecosystems and under different conditions.

Despite the large consumption of algae, plants, and detritus, which probably led to the low amplitude of the trophic niche as evidenced by Permidisp, we showed that there was a difference in the inter-individual variability in the consumption of food resources only between autumn and spring, which indicates that there was higher consumption of different resources. This inter-individual variation might have been favored by the unequal distribution of resources in aquatic environments, variation in the availability of food resources as a function of space and time (Pringle et al., 1988), and connectivity and retraction of the lagoon with the main river (Deus & Pettre-Junior, 2003; Cunha et al., 2018).

In general, we can conclude that seasonality influenced the diet of *S. notomelas*, showing changes in the proportion of items consumed in all seasons. We also observed a greater inter-individual variation during the autumn, indicating that there was a greater expansion of food resources by individuals, compared to other seasons. Although seasonality is not the only factor capable of promoting changes in the diet of fish, they provide relevant information about the species’ capacity of adaptation, in addition to obtaining information on the provision of resources to aquatic communities in order to promote preservation and conservation of aquatic ecosystems.
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